International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos
© World Scientific Publishing Company

arXiv:1404.3600v2 [cs.CR] 14 Jul 2015

# Deciphering an image cipher based on mixed transformed Logistic maps

Yuansheng Liu
*College of Information Engineering,*
*Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, Hunan, China*

Hua Fan
*Information Security Certification Center, Beijing 100020, China*

Eric Yong Xie
*College of Information Engineering,*
*Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, Hunan, China*

Ge Cheng
*School of Mathematics and Computational Science,*
*Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, Hunan, China*

Chengqing Li
*College of Information Engineering,*
*Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, Hunan, China*
*DrChengqingLi@gmail.com*

Received July 12, 2015

Since John von Neumann suggested utilizing Logistic map as a random number generator in 1947, a great number of encryption schemes based on Logistic map and/or its variants have been proposed. This paper re-evaluates the security of an image cipher based on transformed logistic maps and proves that the image cipher can be deciphered efficiently under two different conditions: 1) two pairs of known plain-images and the corresponding cipher-images with computational complexity of $O(2^{18} + L)$; 2) two pairs of chosen plain-images and the corresponding cipher-images with computational complexity of $O(L)$, where $L$ is the number of pixels in the plain-image. In contrast, the required condition in the previous deciphering method is eighty-seven pairs of chosen plain-images and the corresponding cipher-images with computational complexity of $O(2^7 + L)$. In addition, three other security flaws existing in most Logistic-map-based ciphers are also reported.

*Keywords*: chaotic image encryption; cryptanalysis; known-plaintext attack; chosen-plaintext attack; Logistic map.

## 1. Introduction

In the cyber era facing 5G (5th generation) mobile networks, all kinds of security problems about image data are encountering serious challenges [Li & Lo, 2011; Li *et al.*, 2015]. The seeming similarity between chaos and cryptography promoted their combination to design efficient and secure encryption schemes,

where one or more chaotic systems were adopted to determine position permutation relationship [Chen *et al.*, 2004; Fridrich, 1998], generate pseudo-random number sequence (PRNS) [Mao *et al.*, 2004; Zhu, 2012; Zheng *et al.*, 2014; Zhou *et al.*, 2015], produce cipher-text directly [Baptista, 1998] and construct public key encryption scheme [Bose, 2005]. As an integral part of cryptology, security analysis on a given encryption scheme checks its real capability on achieving balance point between security and the cost computational, and also provides another perspective on studying property of the underlying theory [Knuth, 1985; Álvarez & Li, 2006]. Some cryptanalytic works have shown that many chaos-based encryption schemes have security problems of different extents from modern cryptographical point of view [Álvarez *et al.*, 2004; Chen *et al.*, 2006; Solak *et al.*, 2010; Li & Lo, 2011; Li *et al.*, 2012a, 2013].

Logistic map is one of the most famous chaotic systems. It comes from discrete quadratic recurrence form of the Logistic equation, a model of population growth first published by P. Verhulst in 1845. The application of Logistic map in cryptology can be traced back to John von Neumann's suggestion on utilizing it as a random number generator in 1947 [Ulam & von Neumann, 1947]. The map becomes very popular after the biologist Robert May used it as a discrete-time demographic model in 1976 [MAY, 1976]. Due to simple form and relatively complex dynamical properties of Logistic map, it was extensively used to design encryption schemes or generate PRNS [Baptista, 1998; Phatak & Rao, 1995; Kocarev & Jakimoski, 2001; Jakimoski & Kocarev, 2001]. Even in *Web of Science*, one can find that more than two hundred papers on application of Logistic map in cryptography were published between 1998 and 2014. Among them, a few papers reported some security deficiencies specially caused by Logistic map, such as estimation of control parameter from neighboring states [Li *et al.*, 2008], short period of the states orbit [Persohn & Povinelli, 2012; Li *et al.*, 2012b], stable distribution of estimation error of the control parameter [Li *et al.*, 2014]. To tackle the flaws, various remedies were proposed, such as modify Logistic map itself [Sam *et al.*, 2012] or postprocess the raw chaotic states [Li *et al.*, 2012b].

In [Sam *et al.*, 2012], a novel image cipher based on mixed transformed Logistic maps (MTLM) was proposed, where the modulo addition and the XOR operations are employed in diffusion procedure, which are all controlled by PRNS generated by iterating MTLM. Essentially, the image cipher falls in the categories of encryption schemes based on function

$$y = (\alpha \dotplus x) \oplus (\beta \dotplus x), \tag{1}$$

where $y$, $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $x$ are $n$-bit non-negative integers, $\alpha \dotplus x = (\alpha + x) \mod 2^n$, and $\oplus$ denotes the eXclusive OR (XOR) operation. Detailed cryptographic properties of Eq. (1) have been given in [Li *et al.*, 2013]. Recently, YS Zhang et al. found that the cipher is insecure against chosen-plaintext attack and the equivalent secret key can be obtained by eighty-seven pairs of chosen plain-images [Zhang *et al.*, 2014].

This paper re-evaluates the security of the image cipher proposed in [Sam *et al.*, 2012], and points out the following main insecurity issues: 1) the deciphering performance of the chosen-plaintext attack can be further improved, in terms of both the number of required plaintexts and computationalal complexity; 2) the cipher can be broken efficiently with only two pairs of known plain-images and their corresponding cipher-images; 3) the image cipher suffers other security flaws like insensitivity with respect to change of plain-image/secret key and weak randomness of the used PRNS.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. The next section gives a brief introduction of the image cipher under study. Then, the comprehensive cryptanalyses on it are presented in Sec. 3, together with some experimental results. Finally, the last section concludes the paper.

## 2. Description of the image cipher under study

The plain-image of the image cipher under study is a RGB color image of size $H \times W$ (height $\times$ width), which can be represented as an 8-bit integer matrix of size $3 \times L$, $\mathbf{I} = \{I(i)\}_{i=1}^{L} = \{(R(i), G(i), B(i))\}_{i=1}^{L}$, by scanning the pixels in the raster order, where $L = H \cdot W$. Similarly, the corresponding cipher-image is denoted by $\mathbf{I}' = \{I'(i)\}_{i=1}^{L} = \{(R'(i), G'(i), B'(i))\}_{i=1}^{L}$. Then, the four main parts of the image cipher under

study are described as follows[1].

- *The secret key* is composed of six odd integers $\{r_u\}_{u=1}^{6}$ and three control parameters $k_1$, $k_2$, $k_3$, initial state $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ of MTLM proposed in [Sam *et al.*, 2012], which is given as

$$\begin{cases} x_{i+1} = (3.735 \cdot k_1 \cdot (1 + x_i)^2 \cdot \sin(1/(1 + y_i^2))) \bmod 1, \\ y_{i+1} = (3.536 \cdot k_2 \cdot x_{i+1} \cdot \sin(x_{i+1} \cdot y_i)(1 + z_i^2)) \bmod 1, \\ z_{i+1} = (3.838 \cdot k_3 \cdot x_{i+1} \cdot (1 + y_{i+1} \cdot z_i)) \bmod 1, \end{cases}$$

where $r_u \in [0, 256]$, $|k_1| > 37.7$, $|k_2| > 39.7$ and $|k_3| > 37.2$.
- *Keystream generation procedure*: Iterate the above MTLM $L$ times to obtain a chaotic states sequence $\{(x_i, y_i, z_i)\}_{i=1}^{L}$. Then, generate keystream as follows: for $i = 1 \sim L$, set

$$\begin{cases} X_i = \lfloor 256 \cdot x_i \rfloor, \\ Y_i = \lfloor 256 \cdot y_i \rfloor, \\ Z_i = \lfloor 256 \cdot z_i \rfloor, \end{cases}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ quantizes $x$ to the nearest integer less than or equal to $x$.
- *The encryption procedure* consists of the following three operations.

– *Initial permutation*: For $i = 1 \sim H, j = 1 \sim W$, set

$$\begin{cases} R^{\dagger}((i-1) \cdot W + j) = R((t_1 - 1) \cdot W + t_2), \\ G^{\dagger}((i-1) \cdot W + j) = G((t_3 - 1) \cdot W + t_4), \\ B^{\dagger}((i-1) \cdot W + j) = B((t_5 - 1) \cdot W + t_6), \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where

$$t_u = \begin{cases} 1 + (31 \cdot i \cdot r_u) \bmod H, & \text{if } u \in \{1, 3, 5\}; \\ 1 + (31 \cdot j \cdot r_u) \bmod W, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

i.e., $t_u$ is a function of $i$ or $j$ according to the value of $u$.

– *Nonlinear diffusion*: For $i = 1 \sim L$, set

$$\begin{cases} R^{\ddagger}(i) = \big(\big(R^{\dagger}(i) \ggg 4\big) \dot{+} X_i\big) \oplus Y_i, \\ G^{\ddagger}(i) = \big(\big(G^{\dagger}(i) \ggg 4\big) \dot{+} X_i\big) \oplus Y_i, \\ B^{\ddagger}(i) = \big(\big(B^{\dagger}(i) \ggg 4\big) \dot{+} X_i\big) \oplus Y_i, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $a \ggg 4 = 16 \cdot (a \bmod 16) + \lfloor a/16 \rfloor$.

– *Zigzag diffusion*: 1) re-scan all pixels of $\mathbf{I}^{\ddagger} = \{I^{\ddagger}(i)\}_{i=1}^{L} = \big\{(R^{\ddagger}(i), G^{\ddagger}(i), B^{\ddagger}(i))\big\}_{i=1}^{L}$ in the zigzag order and still store the result with $\mathbf{I}^{\ddagger}$; 2) encrypt each element of $\mathbf{I}^{\ddagger}$ in order by

$$\begin{cases} R'(i) = R^{\ddagger}(i) \oplus R'(i-1) \oplus Z_i, \\ G'(i) = G^{\ddagger}(i) \oplus G'(i-1) \oplus Z_i, \\ B'(i) = B^{\ddagger}(i) \oplus B'(i-1) \oplus Z_i, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $R'(0) = G'(0) = B'(0) = 0$.

- *Decryption procedure* is similar to the encryption one except the following points:

– the above encryption operations are run in a reverse order;

[1]To simplify the description of the image cipher under study, some notations in the original paper [Sam *et al.*, 2012] are modified under the condition that the essential form kept unchanged.

– Eqs. (2), (3) and (4) are replaced by

$$\begin{cases} R((t_1-1)\cdot W+t_2) = R^{\dagger}((i-1)\cdot W+j), \\ G((t_3-1)\cdot W+t_4) = G^{\dagger}((i-1)\cdot W+j), \\ B((t_5-1)\cdot W+t_6) = B^{\dagger}((i-1)\cdot W+j), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} R^{\dagger}(i) = \big(\big(\big(R^{\ddagger}(i)\oplus Y_i\big) - X_i\big) \bmod 256\big) \ggg 4, \\ G^{\dagger}(i) = \big(\big(\big(G^{\ddagger}(i)\oplus Y_i\big) - X_i\big) \bmod 256\big) \ggg 4, \\ B^{\dagger}(i) = \big(\big(\big(B^{\ddagger}(i)\oplus Y_i\big) - X_i\big) \bmod 256\big) \ggg 4, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} R^{\ddagger}(i) = R'(i)\oplus R'(i-1)\oplus Z_i, \\ G^{\ddagger}(i) = G'(i)\oplus G'(i-1)\oplus Z_i, \\ B^{\ddagger}(i) = B'(i)\oplus B'(i-1)\oplus Z_i, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

respectively.

Observing Eqs. (5), (6), one can see that sequences $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ and $\{Y_i \oplus Z_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ are the equivalent key of the two cascaded parts, *Nonlinear diffusion* and *Zigzag diffusion*.

## 3. Cryptanalysis

To make cryptanalysis on the image cipher under study more complete, we first briefly review previous deciphering method proposed by Zhang et al. Then, a best improvement of the attack is presented in Sec. 3.2. Furthermore, we proposed an effective known-plaintext attack in Sec. 3.3. Finally, some security flaws of the scheme are presented.

### 3.1. *Chosen-plaintext attack proposed by Zhang et al.*

Chosen-plaintext attack is an attack model assuming that the attacker owns right to modify plaintext and observes the corresponding ciphertext. Assume a plain-image $\mathbf{I}_1 = \{I_1(i)\}_{i=1}^{L} = \{(R_1(i), G_1(i), B(i))\}_{i=1}^{L}$ and its corresponding cipher-image $\mathbf{I}'_1 = \{I'_1(i)\}_{i=1}^{L} = \{(R'_1(i),\, G'_1(i), B'_1(i))\}_{i=1}^{L}$ are available. Obviously, any permutation operation become invalid with respect to permutation object of a fixed value. To decipher the three encryption operations with the strategy of *Divide and Conquer*, the plain-image is chosen as $\mathbf{I}_1 = \{(R_1(i) \equiv 0,\, G_1(i) \equiv d, B(i))\}_{i=1}^{L}$, where $d \in \{0, \ldots, 255\}$. According to Eqs. (3) and (4), one has

$$R'_1(i)\oplus R'_1(i-1) = X_i \oplus Y_i \oplus Z_i, \tag{7}$$

and

$$G'_1(i)\oplus G'_1(i-1) = ((d \ggg 4) \dot{+} X_i) \oplus Y_i \oplus Z_i. \tag{8}$$

Incorporate $(Y_i \oplus Z_i)$ in Eq. (7) into Eq. (8), one further has

$$R'_1(i)\oplus R'_1(i-1)\oplus G'_1(i)\oplus G'_1(i-1) = ((d \ggg 4) \dot{+} X_i) \oplus X_i. \tag{9}$$

The above equation can be attributed to a special case of Eq. (1),

$$y = (\alpha \dot{+} x) \oplus x.$$

As shown in Table 2 of [Li *et al.*, 2013], only the scope of possible values of $X_i$ can be obtained by solving one set of Eq. (9). Given more pairs of chosen plain-images and the corresponding cipher-images, the scope would become more and more narrow, and all the seven least significant bits of $X_i$ may be confirmed. In [Zhang *et al.*, 2014], Zhang et al. claimed that 256 plain-images of different fixed values can reveal $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ (As the relation shown in Eq. (11), the most significant bit of $X_i$ is ignored). As a RGB color image has three channel components, one can conclude that the chaotic keystream $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ can be reconstructed with $\lceil 256/3 \rceil = 86$ pairs of chosen plain-images. Then, the keystream $\{Y_i \oplus Z_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ can be obtained by Eq. (7).

Then, only the *Initial permutation* is left. One can use one more chosen plain-image, e.g., modified version of any previous chosen-image by changing one single pixel value, to recover the parameters of the position permutation part, $\{r_u\}_{u=1}^{6}$, via Eq. (2).

In all, the essential idea of Zhang et al.'s attack is to narrow the scope of $x$ by verifying Eq. (1) of $\beta \equiv 0$ with different sets of $(y, \alpha)$. As $y \in [0, 255]$, they enumerate all possible values of $\alpha \in [0, 127]$, and then recover $x$ by observing the distribution of $y$. So, the required number of chosen plain-image is eighty-seven and the computational complexity is $O(128 \cdot L)$.

### 3.2. *Optimum chosen-plaintext attack*

Based on the above discussion, this subsection presents an improved chosen-plaintext attack based on the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *Assume that $\alpha, \beta$, and $x$ are all $n$-bit integers, then a lower bound on the number of queries $(\alpha, \beta)$ to solve Eq. (1) in terms of modulo $2^{n-1}$ for any $x$ is 1 if $n = 2$; 2 if $n > 2$.*

Following the above Proposition, a corollary listed two typical sets of $(\alpha, \beta)$ to determine $x$ in Eq. (1) when $n = 8$, where the two queries are $(0, 170)$ and $(170, 85)$. Thus, one can choose a plain-image $\mathbf{I} = \{(R(i) \equiv 0,\, G(i) \equiv 170, B(i)) \equiv 85\}_{i=1}^{L}$, and then one further has

$$\begin{cases} R'(i) \oplus R'(i-1) \oplus G'(i) \oplus G'(i-1) = \\ \qquad\qquad (0 \dot{+} X_i) \oplus (170 \dot{+} X_i)\,, \\ G'(i) \oplus G'(i-1) \oplus B'(i) \oplus B'(i-1) = \\ \qquad\qquad (170 \dot{+} X_i) \oplus (85 \dot{+} X_i)\,, \end{cases}$$

from Eqs. (3) and (4). Thus, $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ can be revealed with the above chosen plain-image. Once $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ is recovered, only one more chosen plain-image is required to break *Initial permutation* as above. Therefore, the equivalent key of the image cipher under study can be revealed with only two chosen plain-images and the computational complexity of the improved chosen-plaintext attack is only $O(L)$. As shown in [Li *et al.*, 2013], it is impossible to solve $x$ of most values in Eq. (1) with only one set of $(\alpha, \beta)$. So, this attack method can be considered as optimum.

### 3.3. *Known-plaintext attack*

The known-plaintext attack is a weaker version of the chosen-plaintext attack as the attacker can not modify the plaintext. So, the former is more important for security analysis.

Assume another plain-image $\mathbf{I}_2 = \{I_2(i)\}_{i=1}^{L} = \{(R_2(i),\, G_2(i), B_2(i))\}_{i=1}^{L}$, and the corresponding cipher-image $\mathbf{I}'_2 = \{I'_2(i)\}_{i=1}^{L} = \{(R'_2(i), G'_2(i), B'_2(i))\}_{i=1}^{L}$, are available. According to Eqs. (3) and (4), one has

$$R'_1(i) \oplus R'_1(i-1) \oplus R'_2(i) \oplus R'_2(i-1) = \left(\left(R_1^{\dagger}(i) \ggg 4\right) \dot{+} X_i\right) \oplus \left(\left(R_2^{\dagger}(i) \ggg 4\right) \dot{+} X_i\right). \quad (10)$$

Referring to Proposition 2 in [Li *et al.*, 2012a], one has

$$(a \dot{+} (X_i \oplus 128)) = (a \dot{+} X_i) \oplus 128. \quad (11)$$

Thus, one can see that $X_i$ is equivalent to $(X_i \oplus 128)$ in terms of existence of Eq. (10). According to Eq. (2), one has

$$R^{\dagger}(i \cdot W) = R(u_i),$$

where $u_i = (((31 \cdot i \cdot r_1) \bmod H) \cdot W + 1)$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, H\}$. Referring to Eq. (10), one further has

$$R'_1(i \cdot W) \oplus R'_1(i \cdot W - 1) \oplus R'_2(i \cdot W) \oplus R'_2(i \cdot W - 1) = \left((R_1(u_i) \ggg 4) \dot{+} X_{(i \cdot W)}\right) \oplus \left((R_2(u_i) \ggg 4) \dot{+} X_{(i \cdot W)}\right). \quad (12)$$

As $r_1$ is an odd integer and $r_1 \in [0, 256]$, there are only 128 possible values. Thus, one can enumerate the possible values of $r_1$ and then verify them by checking whether $X_{(i \cdot W)} \in \{0, \ldots, 127\}$ satisfies Eq. (12) for any $i \in \{1, \cdots, H\}$. If all the verifications pass, the remaining value of $r_1$ is considered as the right sub-key. Apparently, the computational complexity of the search procedure is $O(128 \times H \times 128) = O(2^{14}H)$, and the success of this method is determined by the verification of Eq. (12). The probability of $(\alpha, \beta)$ passing verification of Eq. (1) under different values of $y$ are shown in Fig. 1. Assume that the elements of $\{R_1(i \cdot W + 1)\}_{i=1}^{H}$, and $\{R_2(i \cdot W + 1)\}_{i=1}^{H}$ follow uniform distribution, one can assure that the probability of a wrong version of $r_1$ passing the verification procedure is less than $(1/2)^H$, which means the value of $r_1$ can be successfully recovered with only three times verification with an extremely high probability. In the same way, we can obtain other five odd integers $\{r_i\}_{i=2}^{6}$. Therefore, the computational complexity of deciphering the *Initial permutation* is $O(6 \times 128 \times 3 \times 128) = O(9 \cdot 2^{15}) \doteq O(2^{18})$.




Fig. 1. The probability of $(\alpha, \beta)$ passing verification of Eq. (1) under different values of $y$.

Once the permutation part has been deciphered, some bits of $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ can be revealed with even one pair of known plain-image and the corresponding cipher-image. In the current situation, Eq. (9) is replaced by

$$R'(i) \oplus R'(i-1) \oplus G'(i) \oplus G'(i-1) = \left(\left(R^{\dagger}(i) \ggg 4\right) \dot{+} X_i\right) \oplus \left(\left(G^{\dagger}(i) \ggg 4\right) \dot{+} X_i\right).$$

Obviously, the above equation falls in the general form of Eq. (1). In [Li *et al.*, 2013, Sec. 3.2], Li et al. proved that $\Pr(0) = 0.5$, $\Pr(1) = 0.4062$, $\Pr(2) = 0.3818$, and $\Pr(i) \approx 0.37$ for $i > 3$, where $\Pr(i)$ denotes the probability that the $i$-th bit can be confirmed. For each pair of known plain-image and the corresponding cipher-image, there are three equations of the form of Eq. (1). When two known plain-images are available, one can obtain $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ equations. Therefore, one can assure that most bits of $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ can be obtained with a high probability, which is larger than $1-(1-0.37)^{15} = 1-0.43^{15}$. It is easy to conclude that the computational complexity on confirming bits of $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ is $O(L)$.

To verify the real performance of the above known-plaintext attack, a great number of experiments were performed with plain-images of size $256 \times 256$. Here, a typical example is shown, where the secret key $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{6} = \{123, 57, 67, 89, 253, 221\}$, $k_1 = 38.583, k_2 = 41.135, k_3 = 39.846$, and $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (0.485, 0.913, 0.751)$. When only the plain-image "Baboon" shown in Fig. 2a) is used to recover the approximate version of the equivalent secret key of the image cipher under study, $(\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{6}, \{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}, \{Y_i \oplus Z_i\}_{i=1}^{L})$, the decryption result on another cipher-image shown in Fig. 2c) is shown in Fig. 2d). When another plain-image shown in Fig. 2b) is used together, the decryption result on the cipher-image is shown in Fig. 2e). It is counted that 26.53% and 83.96% of the pixels of the images shown in Fig. 2e) and Fig. 2e) are correct. As there is strong redundancy existing in neighboring pixels of image and human eyes owns strong robustness against noise in image [Zhu *et al.*, 2015], we can even observe some important visual information from Fig. 2d) by naked eyes. Therefore, one can conclude that two known plain-images can achieve a satisfactory deciphering performance.

### 3.4. *Other security flaws*

In this subsection, we list three other security flaws existing widely in Logistic-map-based ciphers, which are all reduce the complexity of deciphering the ciphers seriously.

- *Low sensitivity with respect to change of secret key:*
  From the cryptographical point of view, a good secure image cipher should be sensitive to the secret key [Schneier, 2007]. In [Sam *et al.*, 2012, Sec. 4.3], the author claimed that the cipher under study has a great sensitivity to the secret key based on some limited test results. Unfortunately, we found that the image cipher under study fails to satisfy this security principle. From the previous analysis, one can see that $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ and $\{Y_i \oplus Z_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ are the equivalent key of Nonlinear and Zigzag diffusion parts. When $Y_i' \oplus Z_i' = Y_i \oplus Z_i$, then $Y_i'$ and $Z_i'$ are equivalent to $Y_i$ and $Z_i$, respectively. Moreover, according to Eq. (11), $(X_i, Y_i \oplus Z_i)$ is equivalent to $(X_i \oplus 128, Y_i \oplus Z_i \oplus 128)$ with respect to the encryption/decryption procedure, which means different keys may successfully decrypt cipher-images encrypted with different secret keys.
- *Low sensitivity with respect to change of plaintext:*
  Another cryptographical property required by a good cipher (not visual cipher) is the avalanche effect, i.e., the ciphertexts of two plaintexts with a slight change (e.g., only one pixel or bit is modified) should be very dramatically different [Schneier, 2007]. However, the image cipher under study is actually far away from the property. From the encryption procedure, there is only zigzag diffusion operation which can spread the change to influence cipher-image, and the change of one pixel of plain-image can only influence pixels after the present pixel with the zigzag order. For example, assume $R^{\dagger}(L-1)$ is permutated from $R(i')$. If the value of $R(i')$ is modified, only three cipher pixels $R'(L-W-1)$, $R'(L-1)$ and $R'(L)$ will be changed. This flaw is very important for protecting image since a plain-image and its watermarked version may encrypted together.
- *Insufficient randomness of the keystream:*
  In [Sam *et al.*, 2012, Sec. 2.2], it was claimed that MTLM does not have security issues existing in Logistic map. Li at al. [Li *et al.*, 2007] have shown that the randomness of pseudo-random bit sequences derived from the Logistic map is very weak. To further test the randomness of the keystream generated by MTLM, we tested 100 keystreams of length $256 \times 256 \times 3 = 196608$ by using the NIST statistical test suite [Rukhin & et al., 2010]. The 100 keystreams were generated with randomly selected secret keys. For each test, the default significance level 0.01 was adopted. The results are shown in Table 3.4, from which one can see that the keystream is not random enough.

## 4. Conclusion

This paper studied the security of an image cipher based on a variant of Logistic map. Observing its essential structure, we found that the previous chosen-plaintext attack can be further improved in terms of reducing the number of chosen plain-images from eight-seven to two and decreasing the computational complexity a little. Beside this, an effective known-plaintext attack can break the cipher in the sense that




Fig. 2. Known-plaintext attack: a) the known plain-image "Baboon"; b) the known plain-image "Street"; c) the cipher-image of a plain-image "Lenna"; d) the decryption result that the equivalent secret key of diffusion part is reconstructed with the known plain-image "Baboon"; e) the decryption result of c) with two known plain-images in a) and b).

only two known plain-image are needed. In addition, some other security flaws, insensitivity to change of plaintext/secret, weak randomness of used PRNG, were identified and briefly discussed.

Table 1. The performed tests with respect to a significance level 0.01 and the number of sequences passing each test in 100 randomly generated sequences.

| Name of Test | Number of Passed Sequences |
|---|---|
| Approximate Entropy ($m = 10$) | 0 |
| Block Frequency ($m = 128$) | 0 |
| Cumulative Sums (Forward/Reverse) | 0/0 |
| FFT | 100 |
| Frequency | 0 |
| Longest Run of Ones ($m = 10000$) | 99 |
| Non-overlapping Template ($m = 9, B = 000000001$) | 0 |
| Random Excursions ($x = 1$) | 0 |
| Rank | 99 |
| Runs | 0 |
| Serial ($m = 16$) | 0 |
| Universal | 0 |

## Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Distinguished Young Scholar Program, Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 2015JJ1013), the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61202398).

## References

Álvarez, G. & Li, S. [2006] "Some basic cryptographic requirements for chaos-based cryptosystems," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **16**, 2129–2151.

Álvarez, G., Montoya, F., Romera, M. & Pastor, G. [2004] "Cryptanalysis of dynamic look-up table based chaotic cryptosystems," *Physics Letters A* **326**, 211–218.

Baptista, M. [1998] "Cryptography with chaos," *Physics Letters A* **240**, 50–54.

Bose, R. [2005] "Novel public key encryption technique based on multiple chaotic systems," *Physical Review Letters* **95**, art. no. 098702.

Chen, G., Mao, Y. & Chui, C. K. [2004] "A symmetric image encryption scheme based on 3D chaotic cat maps," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **21**, 749–761.

Chen, Y., Liao, X. & Wong, K.-W. [2006] "Chosen plaintext attack on a cryptosystem with discretized skew tent map," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs* **53**, 527–529.

Fridrich, J. [1998] "Symmetric ciphers based on two-dimensional chaotic maps," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **8**, 1259–1284.

Jakimoski, G. & Kocarev, L. [2001] "Chaos and cryptography: block encryption ciphers based on chaotic maps," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications* **48**, 163–169.

Knuth, D. E. [1985] "Deciphering a linear congruential encryption," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **31**, 49–52.

Kocarev, L. & Jakimoski, G. [2001] "Logistic map as a block encryption algorithm," *Physics Letters A* **289**, 199–206.

Li, C., Li, S., Álvarez, G., Chen, G. & Lo, K.-T. [2007] "Cryptanalysis of two chaotic encryption schemes based on circular bit shift and XOR operations," *Physics Letters A* **369**, 23–30.

Li, C., Liu, Y., Xie, T. & Chen, M. Z. [2012a] "Breaking a novel image encryption scheme based on improved hyperchaotic sequences," *Nonlinear Dynamics* , 1–7.

Li, C., Liu, Y., Zhang, L. Y. & Chen, M. Z. [2013] "Breaking a chaotic image encryption algorithm based on modulo addition and XOR operation," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **23**.

Li, C. & Lo, K.-T. [2011] "Optimal quantitative cryptanalysis of permutation-only multimedia ciphers against plaintext attacks," *Signal Processing* **91**, 949–954.

Li, C., Xie, T., Liu, Q. & Cheng, G. [2014] "Cryptanalyzing image encryption using chaotic logistic map," *Nonlinear Dynamics* **78**, 1545–1551.

Li, C.-Y., Chen, Y.-H., Chang, T.-Y., Deng, L.-Y. & To, K. [2012b] "Period extension and randomness enhancement using high-throughput reseeding-mixing PRNG," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems* **20**, 385–389.

Li, J., Li, X., Yang, B. & Sun, X. [2015] "Segmentation-based image copy-move forgery detection scheme," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security* **10**, 507–518.

Li, S., Li, C., Chen, G. & Lo, K.-T. [2008] "Cryptanalysis of the RCES/RSES image encryption scheme," *Journal of Systems and Software* **81**, 1130–1143.

Mao, Y., Chen, G. & Lian, S. [2004] "A novel fast image encryption scheme based on 3D chaotic baker maps," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **14**, 3613–3624.

MAY, R. M. [1976] "Simple mathematical-models with very complicated dynamics," *Nature* **261**, 459–467.

Persohn, K. & Povinelli, R. [2012] "Analyzing logistic map pseudorandom number generators for periodicity induced by finite precision floating-point representation," *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **45**, 238–245.

Phatak, S. C. & Rao, S. S. [1995] "Logistic map: A possible random-number generator," *Physical Review E* **51**, 3670–3678.

Rukhin, A. & et al. [2010] "A statistical test suite for random and pseudorandom number generators for cryptographic applications," NIST Special Publication 800-22rev1a, available online at http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/toolkit/rng/documentation_software.html.

Sam, I. S., Devaraj, P. & Bhuvaneswaran, R. S. [2012] "A novel image cipher based on mixed transformed logistic maps," *Multimedia Tools and Applications* **56**, 315–330.

Schneier, B. [2007] *Applied cryptography: protocols, algorithms, and source code in C* (John Wiley & Sons).

Solak, E., Çokal, C., Yildiz, O. T. & Biyikoğlu, T. [2010] "Cryptanalysis of fridrich's chaotic image encryption," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **20**, 1405–1413.

Ulam, S. M. & von Neumann, J. [1947] "On combination of stochastic and deterministic processes," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **53**, 1120.

Zhang, Y., Xiao, D., Wen, W. & Li, M. [2014] "Cryptanalyzing a novel image cipher based on mixed transformed logistic maps," *Multimedia Tools and Applications* **73**, 1885–1896.

Zheng, H., Yu, S. & Lu, J. [2014] "Design and arm platform-based realization of digital color image encryption and decryption via single state variable feedback control," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **24**, art. no. 1450049.

Zhou, Y., Hua, Z., Pun, C.-M. & Chen, C. L. P. [2015] "Cascade chaotic system with applications," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, doi:10.1109/TCYB.2014.2363168.

Zhu, C. [2012] "A novel image encryption scheme based on improved hyper-chaotic sequences," *Optics Communications* **285**, 29–37.

Zhu, K., Li, C., Asari, V. & Saupe, D. [2015] "No-reference video quality assessment based on artifact measurement and statistical analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* **25**, 533–546.